

# FIRST COHOMOLOGY GROUPS OF THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF A FREE GROUP WITH COEFFICIENTS IN THE ABELIANIZATION OF THE IA-AUTOMORPHISM GROUP

TAKAO SATOH

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawaoiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto city  
606-8502, Japan

**ABSTRACT.** We compute a twisted first cohomology group of the automorphism group of a free group with coefficients in the abelianization  $V$  of the IA-automorphism group of a free group. In particular, we show that it is generated by two crossed homomorphisms constructed with the Magnus representation and the Magnus expansion due to Morita and Kawazumi respectively. As a corollary, we see that the first Johnson homomorphism does not extend to the automorphism group of a free group as a crossed homomorphism for the rank of the free group is greater than 4.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $F_n$  be a free group of rank  $n \geq 2$  with basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and  $\text{Aut } F_n$  the automorphism group of  $F_n$ . The study of the (co)homology groups of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  with trivial coefficients has been developed for these twenty years by many authors. There are several remarkable computation. Gersten [7] showed  $H_2(\text{Aut } F_n, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  for  $n \geq 5$ . Hatcher and Vogtmann [8] showed  $H_q(\text{Aut } F_n, \mathbf{Q}) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq q \leq 6$ , except for  $H_4(\text{Aut } F_4, \mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q}$ . Furthermore, recently Galatius [6] showed that the stable integral homology groups of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  are isomorphic to those of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of degree  $n$ . In particular, from his results, we see that the stable rational homology groups  $H_q(\text{Aut } F_n, \mathbf{Q})$  of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  are trivial for  $n \geq 2q + 1$ .

In this paper, we are interested in twisted (co)homology groups of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  from a viewpoint of the study of the Johnson homomorphism of  $\text{Aut } F_n$ . Let  $H$  be the abelianization of  $F_n$ . The group  $\text{Aut } F_n$  naturally acts on  $H$  and its dual group  $H^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(H, \mathbf{Z})$ . There are a few computation for the (co)homology groups of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  with coefficients in  $H$  and  $H^*$ . Hatcher and Wahl [9] showed that the stable homology groups of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  with coefficients in  $H$  are trivial using the stability of the homology groups of the mapping class groups of certain 3-manifolds. In our previous papers [24] and [26], we studied the stable twisted first and second (co)homology groups of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  with coefficients in  $H$  and  $H^*$ , using the presentation for  $\text{Aut } F_n$  due to Gersten [7]. In particular, we obtained  $H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, H) = \mathbf{Z}$  for  $n \geq 4$ , and  $H_2(\text{Aut } F_n, H^*) = 0$  for  $n \geq 6$ .

Our research mentioned above is inspired by Morita's work for the mapping class group of a surface. For  $g \geq 1$ , let  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  be a compact oriented surface of genus  $g$  with one boundary component, and  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  the mapping class group of  $\Sigma_{g,1}$ . Namely,  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  is

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F28(Primary), 20J06(Secondly).

*Key words and phrases.* automorphism group of a free group, twisted homology group, IA-automorphism group, Johnson homomorphism.

the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  which fix the boundary pointwise. The action of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  on the fundamental group of  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  induces a natural homomorphism  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1} \rightarrow \text{Aut } F_{2g}$ . It is known that this homomorphism is injective for any  $g \geq 1$  due to classical works by Dehn and Nielsen. Then we can consider  $H$  as  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ -modules for  $n = 2g$ . We remark that  $H^*$  is canonically isomorphic to  $H$  by the Poincaré duality. In [14], Morita computed  $H^1(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}, H) = \mathbf{Z}$  for  $g \geq 2$ , and  $H_2(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}, H) = 0$  for  $g \geq 12$ . (See also [15].) In particular, he showed that a crossed homomorphism induced from the Magnus representation of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  generates  $H^1(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}, H)$ .

In general, the groups  $\text{Aut } F_n$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  share many similar algebraic properties. If a certain result for either  $\text{Aut } F_n$  or  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  is obtained, it would be natural to ask whether the corresponding result holds or not for the other. As far as we compare the Morita's works with ours, it seems that  $\text{Aut } F_n$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  behave similarly with respect to the low dimensional twisted (co)homology groups.

In this paper, we consider another  $\text{Aut } F_n$ -module other than  $H$  and  $H^*$ . Let  $\rho : \text{Aut } F_n \rightarrow \text{Aut } H$  be the natural homomorphism induced from the abelianization of  $F_n$ . We identify  $\text{Aut } H$  with  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$  by fixing a basis of  $H$  induced from that of  $F_n$ . The kernel of  $\rho$  is called the IA-automorphism group of  $F_n$ , denoted by  $\text{IA}_n$ . The IA-automorphism group is a free group analogue of the Torelli subgroup of the mapping class group. Although the study of the IA-automorphism group has a long history since its finitely many generators were obtained by Magnus [12] in 1935, the combinatorial group structure of  $\text{IA}_n$  is still quite complicated. For instance, any presentation for  $\text{IA}_n$  is not known in general. Nielsen [21] showed that  $\text{IA}_2$  coincides with the inner automorphism group, hence, is a free group of rank 2. For  $n \geq 3$ , however,  $\text{IA}_n$  is much larger than the inner automorphism group  $\text{Inn } F_n$ . Krstić and McCool [11] showed that  $\text{IA}_3$  is not finitely presentable. For  $n \geq 4$ , it is not known whether  $\text{IA}_n$  is finitely presentable or not. On the other hand, the abelianization  $V$  of  $\text{IA}_n$  is completely determined by recent independent works of Cohen-Pakianathan [3, 4], Farb [5] and Kawazumi [10]. From their results, we have  $V \cong H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^2 H$  as a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$ -module.

Let  $L$  be a commutative ring which does not contain any 2-torsions. In this paper, we determine the stable first cohomology group of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  with coefficients in  $V_L := V \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} L$ . Here the ring  $L$  is regarded as a trivial  $\text{Aut } F_n$ -module. Our main theorem is

**Theorem 1.** (*= Theorem 4.1.*) *For  $n \geq 5$ , if  $L$  does not contain any 2-torsions,*

$$H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) = L^{\oplus 2}.$$

We also show that the generators of  $H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  are constructed by the Magnus representation and the Magnus expansion due to Morita [17] and Kawazumi [10] respectively. These are denoted by  $f_M$  and  $f_K$ . (For details, see Section 3.)

The computation of Theorem 1 is motivated by a result for the mapping class group  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  due to Morita. In [16], he computed the first cohomology group of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  with coefficients in  $\Lambda^3 H$ , the free part of the abelianization of the Torelli subgroup  $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ . In particular, he showed  $H^1(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}, \Lambda^3 H) = \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2}$  for  $g \geq 3$ . Hence, we also see that the corresponding result of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  holds for  $\text{Aut } F_n$  in this case.

In order to show the theorem above, we use the Nielsen's presentation for  $\text{Aut } F_n$ . (See Subsection 2.2.) One of advantages of the generators-and-relations calculation is that by this method, we can determine  $H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  for many  $L$  at the same time. For example,  $L = \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  for any integer  $p \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $(p, 2) = 1$ .

Now, as an application of Theorem 1, we can see that the first Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_1 : \text{IA}_n \rightarrow V$  does not extend to  $\text{Aut } F_n$  as a crossed homomorphism directly. (See [17] or [25] for the definition of the Johnson homomorphism, for example.) More precisely, for any commutative ring  $L$ , let denote  $\tau_{1,L}$  the composition of the first Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_1$  and the natural projection  $V \rightarrow V_L$ . Then we have

**Proposition 1.** (*= Proposition 5.1.*) *Let  $L$  be a commutative ring which does not contain both any 2-torsions and  $1/2$ . Then for  $n \geq 5$ , there is no crossed homomorphism from  $\text{Aut } F_n$  to  $V_L$  which restriction to  $\text{IA}_n$  coincides with  $\tau_{1,L}$ .*

We should remark that if a commutative ring  $L$  contains  $1/2$ , then the first Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_1 : \text{IA}_n \rightarrow V_L$  extends to a crossed homomorphism  $\text{Aut } F_n \rightarrow V_L$  due to Kawazumi [10]. He explicitly construct a crossed homomorphism, denoted by  $f_K$  in this paper, which restriction to  $\text{IA}_n$  coincides with  $\tau_{1,L}$  using the theory of Magnus expansions. On the other hand, as to the mapping class group, it has already known by Morita [18] that if  $L$  contains  $1/2$  then the first Johnson homomorphism

$$\tau_1 : \mathcal{I}_{g,1} \rightarrow \Lambda^3 H \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} L$$

of the mapping class group is uniquely extends to  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  as a crossed homomorphism where  $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$  denotes the Torelli subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ . Hence, we see that the groups  $\text{Aut } F_n$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  also share a common property with respect to the extension of the first Johnson homomorphism.

At the end of the paper, we consider the outer automorphism group  $\text{Out } F_n$ . In particular, we show

**Proposition 2.** (*= Proposition 5.2.*) *Let  $L$  be a commutative ring which does not contain any 2-torsions. Then for  $n \geq 5$ ,*

$$H^1(\text{Out } F_n, V_L) = L.$$

This paper consists of six sections. In Section 2, we fix some notation and conventions. Then we recall the Nielsen's finite presentation for  $\text{Aut } F_n$ . In Section 3, we construct two crossed homomorphisms  $f_M$  and  $f_K$  from  $\text{Aut } F_n$  into  $V_L$  for any commutative ring  $L$ . In Section 4, we compute the twisted first cohomology groups of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  using the Nielsen's presentation. In Section 5, we consider two applications. One is non-extendability of the Johnson homomorphism. The other is a computation of the twisted first cohomology group of the outer automorphism group of a free group.

## CONTENTS

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 1. Introduction               | 1 |
| 2. Preliminaries              | 4 |
| 2.1. Notation and conventions | 4 |
| 2.2. Nielsen's Presentation   | 4 |
| 2.3. IA-automorphism group    | 5 |

|      |                                       |    |
|------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 3.   | Construction of crossed homomorphisms | 6  |
| 3.1. | Morita's construction                 | 7  |
| 3.2. | Kawazumi's construction               | 7  |
| 3.3. | Some observations                     | 8  |
| 4.   | The first cohomology group            | 9  |
| 5.   | Some Applications                     | 24 |
| 5.1. | The first Johnson homomorphism        | 24 |
| 5.2. | Outer automorphism group              | 25 |
| 6.   | Acknowledgments                       | 25 |
|      | References                            | 25 |

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, after fixing some notation and conventions, we recall the Nielsen's finite presentation for  $\text{Aut } F_n$ , which is used to compute the first cohomology groups in Section 4. Then we also recall the IA-automorphism group of a free group and its abelianization.

### 2.1. Notation and conventions.

Throughout the paper, we use the following notation and conventions. Let  $G$  be a group and  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

- The abelianization of  $G$  is denoted by  $G^{\text{ab}}$ .
- The automorphism group  $\text{Aut } F_n$  of  $F_n$  acts on  $F_n$  from the right. For any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } F_n$  and  $x \in G$ , the action of  $\sigma$  on  $x$  is denoted by  $x^\sigma$ .
- For an element  $g \in G$ , we also denote the coset class of  $g$  by  $g \in G/N$  if there is no confusion.
- Let  $L$  be an arbitrary commutative ring. For any  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module  $M$ , we denote  $M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} L$  by the symbol obtained by attaching a subscript  $L$  to  $M$ , like  $M_L$  or  $M^L$ . Similarly, for any  $\mathbf{Z}$ -linear map  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , the induced  $L$ -linear map  $A_L \rightarrow B_L$  is denoted by  $f_L$  or  $f^L$ .
- For elements  $x$  and  $y$  of  $G$ , the commutator bracket  $[x, y]$  of  $x$  and  $y$  is defined to be  $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ .
- For a group  $G$  and a  $G$ -module  $M$ , we set

$$\text{Cros}(G, M) := \{f : G \rightarrow M \mid f : \text{crossed homomorphism}\},$$

$$\text{Prin}(G, M) := \{g : G \rightarrow M \mid g : \text{principal homomorphism}\}.$$

### 2.2. Nielsen's Presentation.

For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $F_n$  be a free group of rank  $n$  with basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Let  $P, Q, S$  and  $U$  be automorphisms of  $F_n$  given by specifying its images of the basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  as follows:

|     | $x_1$      | $x_2$ | $x_3$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{n-1}$ | $x_n$ |
|-----|------------|-------|-------|----------|-----------|-------|
| $P$ | $x_2$      | $x_1$ | $x_3$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{n-1}$ | $x_n$ |
| $Q$ | $x_2$      | $x_3$ | $x_4$ | $\cdots$ | $x_n$     | $x_1$ |
| $S$ | $x_1^{-1}$ | $x_2$ | $x_3$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{n-1}$ | $x_n$ |
| $U$ | $x_1x_2$   | $x_2$ | $x_3$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{n-1}$ | $x_n$ |

In 1924, Nielsen [22] showed that the four elements above generate  $\text{Aut } F_n$ . Furthermore, he obtained a first finite presentation for  $\text{Aut } F_n$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (Nielsen [22]). *For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\text{Aut } F_n$  is generated by  $P, Q, S$  and  $U$  subject to relators:*

- (N1):  $P^2, Q^n, S^2$ ,
- (N2):  $(QP)^{n-1}$ ,
- (N3):  $(PSPU)^2$ ,
- (N4):  $[P, Q^{-l}PQ^l], \quad 2 \leq l \leq n/2$ ,
- (N5):  $[S, Q^{-1}PQ], [S, QP]$ ,
- (N6):  $(PS)^4$ ,
- (N7):  $[U, Q^{-2}PQ^2], [U, Q^{-2}UQ^2], \quad n \geq 3$ ,
- (N8):  $[U, Q^{-2}SQ^2], [U, SUS]$ ,
- (N9):  $[U, QPQ^{-1}PQ], [U, PQ^{-1}SUSQP]$ ,
- (N10):  $[U, PQ^{-1}PQPUPQ^{-1}PQP]$ ,
- (N11):  $U^{-1}PUPSUSPS$ ,
- (N12):  $(PQ^{-1}UQ)^2UQ^{-1}U^{-1}QU^{-1}$ .

Let  $H$  be the abelianization of  $F_n$ , and  $H^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(H, \mathbf{Z})$  the dual group of  $H$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be the basis of  $H$  induced from  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and  $e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*$  its dual basis of  $H^*$ . Here we remark the actions of the generators  $P, Q, S$  and  $U$  on  $e_i$ 's and  $e_i^*$ 's. In this paper, according to the usual custom, any  $\text{Aut } F_n$ -module is considered as a left  $\text{Aut } F_n$ -module. Namely, for any element  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } F_n$ , the action of  $\sigma$  on  $e_i$  is given by  $\sigma \cdot e_i := x_i^{\sigma^{-1}} \in H$ . In particular, the actions of  $P, Q, S$  and  $U$  on  $e_i$  and  $e_i^*$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P \cdot e_k &= \begin{cases} e_2, & k = 1, \\ e_1, & k = 2, \\ e_k, & k \neq 1, 2, \end{cases} & P \cdot e_k^* &= \begin{cases} e_2^*, & k = 1, \\ e_1^*, & k = 2, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq 1, 2, \end{cases} \\ Q \cdot e_k &= \begin{cases} e_n, & k = 1, \\ e_{k-1}, & k \neq 1, \end{cases} & Q \cdot e_k^* &= \begin{cases} e_n^*, & k = 1, \\ e_{k-1}^*, & k \neq 1, \end{cases} \\ S \cdot e_k &= \begin{cases} -e_1, & k = 1, \\ e_k, & k \neq 1, \end{cases} & S \cdot e_k^* &= \begin{cases} -e_1^*, & k = 1, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq 1, \end{cases} \\ U \cdot e_k &= \begin{cases} e_1 - e_2, & k = 1, \\ e_k, & k \neq 1, \end{cases} & U \cdot e_k^* &= \begin{cases} e_2^* + e_1^*, & k = 2, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

### 2.3. IA-automorphism group.

Here we recall the IA-automorphism group of a free group. Fixing the basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $H$ , we identify  $\text{Aut } H$  with  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$ . The kernel of the natural homomorphism  $\rho : \text{Aut } F_n \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$  induced from the abelianization of  $F_n$  is called the IA-automorphism group of  $F_n$ , denoted by  $\text{IA}_n$ . Magnus [12] showed that for any  $n \geq 3$ , the group  $\text{IA}_n$  is finitely generated by automorphisms

$$K_{ij} : \begin{cases} x_i & \mapsto x_j^{-1}x_i x_j, \\ x_t & \mapsto x_t, \end{cases} \quad (t \neq i)$$

for distinct  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and

$$K_{ijk} : \begin{cases} x_i & \mapsto x_i x_j x_k x_j^{-1} x_k^{-1}, \\ x_t & \mapsto x_t, \end{cases} \quad (t \neq i)$$

for distinct  $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $j > k$ .

Recently, Cohen-Pakianathan [3, 4], Farb [5] and Kawazumi [10] independently determined the abelianization of  $\text{IA}_n$ . More precisely, they showed

$$(1) \quad \text{IA}_n^{\text{ab}} \cong H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^2 H$$

as a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$ -module. This abelianization is induced from the first Johnson homomorphism

$$\tau_1 : \text{IA}_n \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(H, \Lambda^2 H) = H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^2 H$$

defined by  $\sigma \mapsto (x \mapsto x^{-1} x^\sigma)$ . (For a basic material concerning the Johnson homomorphism, see [17] and [25] for example.) In this paper, we identify  $\text{IA}_n^{\text{ab}}$  with  $H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^2 H$  through  $\tau_1$ . Then, (the coset classes of) the Magnus generators

$$K_{ij} = e_i^* \otimes e_i \wedge e_j, \quad K_{ijk} = e_i^* \otimes e_j \wedge e_k$$

form a basis of  $\text{IA}_n^{\text{ab}}$  as a free abelian group. In the following, for simplicity, we write  $V$  for  $\text{IA}_n^{\text{ab}}$ , and set

$$\mathbf{e}_{j,k}^i := e_i^* \otimes e_j \wedge e_k$$

for any  $i, j$  and  $k$ . Moreover, we consider the set

$$I := \{(i, j, k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq n\}$$

of the indices of the basis of  $V$ .

Finally, we recall the inner automorphism group. For each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , set

$$\iota_i := K_{1i} K_{2i} \cdots K_{ni} \in \text{IA}_n,$$

and let  $\text{Inn } F_n$  be a subgroup of  $\text{IA}_n$  generated by  $\iota_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The group  $\text{Inn } F_n$  is called the inner automorphism group of  $F_n$ , and is a free group with basis  $\iota_1, \dots, \iota_n$ . We remark that the abelianization  $(\text{Inn } F_n)^{\text{ab}}$  is naturally isomorphic to  $H$  as a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$ -module. Furthermore, the inclusion  $\text{Inn } F_n \hookrightarrow \text{IA}_n$  induces a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$ -equivariant injective homomorphism

$$H = (\text{Inn } F_n)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \text{IA}_n^{\text{ab}} = H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^2 H$$

between their abelianizations.

### 3. CONSTRUCTION OF CROSSED HOMOMORPHISMS

In this section, for any commutative ring  $L$ , we introduce two crossed homomorphisms  $f_M$  and  $f_K$  from  $\text{Aut } F_n$  into  $V_L = V \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} L$ , due to Morita [17] and Kawazumi [10] respectively. We remark that in their papers, the action of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  on  $F_n$  is considered as the left one. Hence, in this paper, whenever we use their notation and have to consider the left action of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  on  $F_n$ , we use  $\sigma(x) := x^{\sigma^{-1}}$  for any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } F_n$  and  $x \in F_n$ .

### 3.1. Morita's construction.

First we construct a crossed homomorphism  $f_M$  from  $\text{Aut } F_n$  into  $V$  using the Magnus representation of  $\text{Aut } F_n$  due to Morita [17]. Let

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} : \mathbf{Z}[F_n] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[F_n]$$

be the Fox's free derivations for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . (For a basic material concerning with the Fox's derivation, see [1] for example.) Let  $\bar{\cdot} : \mathbf{Z}[F_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[F_n]$  be the antiautomorphism induced from the map  $F_n \ni y \mapsto y^{-1} \in F_n$ , and  $\mathfrak{a} : \mathbf{Z}[F_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$  the ring homomorphism induced from the abelianization  $F_n \rightarrow H$ . For any matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[F_n])$ , set  $A^{\mathfrak{a}} = (a_{ij}^{\mathfrak{a}}) \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[H])$ . Then a map

$$r_M : \text{Aut } F_n \longrightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[H])$$

defined by

$$\sigma \mapsto \left( \frac{\partial \sigma(x_j)}{\partial x_i} \right)^{\mathfrak{a}}$$

is called the Magnus representation of  $\text{Aut } F_n$ . We remark that  $r_M$  is not a homomorphism but a crossed homomorphism. Namely,  $r_M$  satisfies

$$r_M(\sigma\tau) = r_M(\sigma) \cdot r_M(\tau)^{\sigma*}$$

for any  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut } F_n$  where  $r_M(\tau)^{\sigma*}$  denotes the matrix obtained from  $r_M(\tau)$  by applying a ring homomorphism  $\sigma_* : \mathbf{Z}[H] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$  induced from  $\sigma$  on each entry. (For detail for the Magnus representation, see [17].)

Now, observing the images of the Nielsen's generators by  $\det \circ r_M$ , we verify that  $\text{Im}(\det \circ r_M)$  is contained in a multiplicative abelian subgroup  $\pm H$  of  $\mathbf{Z}[H]$ . In order to modify the image of  $\det \circ r_M$ , we consider the signature of  $\text{Aut } F_n$ . For any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } F_n$ , set  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) := \det(\rho(\sigma)) \in \{\pm 1\}$ , and define a map  $f_M : \text{Aut } F_n \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$  by

$$\sigma \mapsto \text{sgn}(\sigma) \det(r_M(\sigma)).$$

Then the map  $f_M$  is also crossed homomorphism which image of is contained in a multiplicative abelian subgroup  $H$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[H]$ . In the following, we identify the multiplicative abelian group structure of  $H$  with the additive one.

Finally, for any commutative ring  $L$ , by composing  $f_M$  with a natural homomorphism  $H \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_L$  induced from the inclusion  $\text{Inn } F_n \hookrightarrow \text{IA}_n$  and the projection  $V \rightarrow V_L$ , we obtain an element in  $\text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$ , also denoted by  $f_M$ .

### 3.2. Kawazumi's construction.

Next, we construct another crossed homomorphism from  $\text{Aut } F_n$  into  $V_L$  using the Magnus expansion of  $F_n$  due to Kawazumi [10]. (For a basic material for the Magnus expansion, see Chapter 2 in [2].)

Let  $\widehat{T}$  be the complete tensor algebra generated by  $H$ . For any Magnus expansion  $\theta : F_n \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ , Kawazumi define a map

$$\tau_1^\theta : \text{Aut } F_n \rightarrow H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H^{\otimes 2}$$

called the first Johnson map induced by the Magnus expansion  $\theta$ . The map  $\tau_1^\theta$  satisfies

$$\tau_1^\theta(\sigma)([x]) = \theta_2(x) - |\sigma|^{\otimes 2} \theta_2(\sigma^{-1}(x))$$

for any  $x \in F_n$ , where  $[x]$  denotes the coset class of  $x$  in  $H$ ,  $\theta_2(x)$  is the projection of  $\theta(x)$  in  $H^{\otimes 2}$ , and  $|\sigma|^{\otimes 2}$  denotes the automorphism of  $H^{\otimes 2}$  induced by  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } F_n$ . This shows that  $\tau_1^\theta$  is a crossed homomorphism from  $\text{Aut } F_n$  to  $H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H^{\otimes 2}$ . In [10], he also showed that  $\tau_1^\theta$  does not depend on the choice of the Magnus expansion  $\theta$ , and that the restriction of  $\tau_1^\theta$  to  $\text{IA}_n$  is a homomorphism satisfying

$$\tau_1^\theta(K_{ij}) = e_i^* \otimes e_i \otimes e_j - e_i^* \otimes e_j \otimes e_i, \quad \tau_1^\theta(K_{ijk}) = e_i^* \otimes e_j \otimes e_k - e_i^* \otimes e_k \otimes e_j.$$

Now, for any commutative ring  $L$ , compose  $\tau_1^\theta$  and a natural projection  $H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^2 H \rightarrow V_L$ . Then we obtain an element in  $\text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$ . In this paper, we denote it by  $f_K$ . For  $L = \mathbf{Z}$ , from the result of Kawazumi as mentioned above, we see that the restriction of  $f_K$  to  $\text{IA}_n$  coincides with the double of the first Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_1$ . Namely, we have

$$f_K(K_{ij}) = 2e_{i,j}^i, \quad f_K(K_{ijk}) = 2e_{j,k}^i.$$

(See [17] or [25] for the definition of the Johnson homomorphism, for example.) Conversely, if  $L$  contains  $1/2$ , the composition of the first Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_1$  and the natural projection  $V \rightarrow V_L$  extends to  $\text{Aut } F_n$  as a crossed homomorphism.

### 3.3. Some observations.

In this subsection, we consider another crossed homomorphism  $f_N$  in  $\text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  constructed from  $f_M$  and  $f_K$ . It is used to determine the first cohomology group  $H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  in Section 4.

To begin with, we see the images of the crossed homomorphisms  $f_M$  and  $f_K$ . From the definition, we have

$$f_M(\sigma) := \begin{cases} -(e_{1,2}^2 + e_{1,3}^3 + \cdots + e_{1,n}^n), & \sigma = S, \\ 0, & \sigma = P, Q, U \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_K(\sigma) := \begin{cases} -e_{1,2}^1, & \sigma = U, \\ 0, & \sigma = P, Q, S. \end{cases}$$

These are obtained by straightforward calculation. We leave it to the reader as exercises.

Next, for elements

$$a_{j,k}^i := \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j, k, \\ 1, & i = k, \\ -1, & i = j, \end{cases}$$

in  $L$  for  $(i, j, k) \in I$ , set

$$\mathbf{a} := \sum_{(i,j,k) \in I} a_{j,k}^i e_{j,k}^i \in V_L,$$



and let  $f_{\mathbf{a}} \in \text{Prin}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  be a principal homomorphism associated to  $\mathbf{a} \in V_L$ . Namely, for any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } F_n$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{a}}(\sigma) &= \sigma \cdot \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}, \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \sigma = P, Q, \\ -2(\mathbf{e}_{1,2}^2 + \mathbf{e}_{1,3}^3 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{1,n}^n), & \sigma = S, \\ \mathbf{e}_{1,2}^1 - (\mathbf{e}_{2,3}^3 + \mathbf{e}_{2,4}^4 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{2,n}^n), & \sigma = U. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{a}}(P) &= -(a_{1,2}^2 + a_{1,2}^1)\mathbf{e}_{1,2}^1 + \sum_{k=3}^n (a_{2,k}^2 - a_{1,k}^1)\mathbf{e}_{1,k}^1 \\ &\quad - (a_{1,2}^1 + a_{1,2}^2)\mathbf{e}_{1,2}^2 + \sum_{k=3}^n (a_{1,k}^1 - a_{2,k}^2)\mathbf{e}_{2,k}^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=3}^n \left\{ (a_{2,k}^k - a_{1,k}^k)\mathbf{e}_{1,k}^k + (a_{1,k}^k - a_{2,k}^k)\mathbf{e}_{2,k}^k \right\}, \\ &= 0, \\ f_{\mathbf{a}}(Q) &= \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq n-1} (a_{j+1,i+1}^{i+1} - a_{j,i}^i)\mathbf{e}_{j,i}^i + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-a_{1,j+1}^1 - a_{j,n}^n)\mathbf{e}_{j,n}^n \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} (a_{i+1,j+1}^{i+1} - a_{i,j}^i)\mathbf{e}_{i,j}^i, \\ &= 0, \\ f_{\mathbf{a}}(S) &= \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} -2a_{1,i}^i\mathbf{e}_{1,i}^i = -2(\mathbf{e}_{1,2}^2 + \mathbf{e}_{1,3}^3 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{1,n}^n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{a}}(U) &= a_{1,2}^2\mathbf{e}_{1,2}^1 + \sum_{3 \leq i \leq n} -a_{1,i}^i\mathbf{e}_{2,i}^i + \sum_{3 \leq i \leq n} (a_{2,i}^2 - a_{1,i}^1)\mathbf{e}_{2,i}^1, \\ &= \mathbf{e}_{1,2}^1 - (\mathbf{e}_{2,3}^3 + \mathbf{e}_{2,4}^4 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{2,n}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we define  $f_N := 2f_M - f_K - f_{\mathbf{a}} \in \text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$ . From the arguments above, we have

$$f_N(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_{2,3}^3 + \mathbf{e}_{2,4}^4 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{2,n}^n, & \sigma = U, \\ 0, & \sigma = P, Q, S. \end{cases}$$

We use  $f_N$  in Section 4.

#### 4. THE FIRST COHOMOLOGY GROUP

In the following, we always assume that  $L$  is a commutative ring which does not contain any 2-torsions. Set  $V_L := V \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} L$  as above. In this section, by using the Nielsen's presentation for  $\text{Aut } F_n$ , we show

**Theorem 4.1.** *For  $n \geq 5$ ,*

$$H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) = L^{\oplus 2}.$$

Here we give the outline of the computation. Let  $F$  be a free group with basis  $P$ ,  $Q$ ,  $S$  and  $U$ , and  $\varphi : F \rightarrow \text{Aut } F_n$  the natural projection. Then the kernel  $R$  of  $\varphi$  is a normal closure of the relators **(N1)**,  $\dots$ , **(N12)**. Considering the five-term exact sequence of the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence of the group extension

$$1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow \text{Aut } F_n \rightarrow 1,$$

we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) \rightarrow H^1(F, V_L) \rightarrow H^1(R, V_L)^F.$$

Observing this sequence at the cocycle level, we also obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) \rightarrow \text{Cros}(F, V_L) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \text{Cros}(R, V_L)$$

where  $\iota^*$  is a map induced from the inclusion  $\iota : R \hookrightarrow F$ . Hence we can consider  $\text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  as a subgroup consisting of elements of  $\text{Cros}(F, V_L)$  which are killed by  $\iota^*$ . Hence, we can determine  $\text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  by using the relators of the Nielsen's presentation, and hence  $H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* First, we consider the abelian group structure of  $\text{Cros}(F, V_L)$ . For any  $\sigma \in F$  and a crossed homomorphism  $f \in \text{Cros}(F, V_L)$ , set

$$f(\sigma) := \sum_{(i,j,k) \in I} a_{j,k}^i(\sigma) e_{j,k}^i \in V_L$$

for  $a_{j,k}^i(\sigma) \in L$ . Since  $F$  is a free group generated by  $P$ ,  $Q$ ,  $S$  and  $U$ , by the universality of a free group, the crossed homomorphism  $f$  is completely determined by  $a_{j,k}^i(\sigma)$  for  $\sigma = P$ ,  $Q$ ,  $S$  and  $U$ . More precisely, a map

$$\text{Cros}(F, V_L) \rightarrow L^{\oplus 2n^2(n-1)}$$

defined by

$$f \mapsto \left( a_{j,k}^i(P), a_{j,k}^i(Q), a_{j,k}^i(S), a_{j,k}^i(U) \right)_{(i,j,k) \in I}$$

is an isomorphism as an abelian group. In the following, through this map, we identify  $\text{Cros}(F, V_L)$  with  $L^{\oplus 2n^2(n-1)}$ .

In the following, we show that each  $f \in \text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) \subset \text{Cros}(F, V_L)$  is determined by at most

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & a_{j,k}^i(Q), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq n, \\ & a_{j,k}^1(U), \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq n, \\ & a_{1,2}^2(S), \quad a_{2,3}^3(U). \end{aligned}$$

Namely, we show that a map

$$\Phi : \text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) \rightarrow L^{\oplus (n^3 - n^2 + 4)/2}$$

defined by

$$f \mapsto \left( (a_{j,k}^i(Q))_{i \neq n, 1 \leq j < k \leq n}, (a_{j,k}^1(U))_{1 \leq j < k \leq n}, a_{1,2}^2(S), a_{2,3}^3(U) \right)$$

is injective. (Later, we see that these elements uniquely determine a crossed homomorphism from  $\text{Aut } F_n$  into  $V_L$ .) To see this, it suffices to show that each of  $a_{j,k}^i(\sigma)$  for

$\sigma = P, Q, S$  and  $U$ , and  $(i, j, k) \in I$  other than (2) is written as a linear combination of (2). In this process, we use the relators of the Nielsen's presentation.

To do this, we prepare some notation. Let  $f \in \text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$ . We denote by  $W$  the quotient  $L$ -module of a free  $L$ -module spanned by  $a_{j,k}^i(\sigma)$  for  $\sigma = P, Q, S$  and  $U$ , and  $(i, j, k) \in I$  by a submodule generated by all linear relations obtained from  $\iota^*(f) = 0$ . Then each of the coefficients of  $e_{j,k}^i$  in  $f(\sigma)$  is considered as an element in  $W$ . Furthermore, we denote by  $\overline{W}$  the quotient module of  $W$  by the submodule generated by all elements in (2). We use  $\doteq$  for the equality in  $\overline{W}$ . In the following, from Step I to Step V, we show

$$a_{j,k}^i(\sigma) \doteq 0$$

for  $\sigma = P, Q, S$  and  $U$ , and any  $(i, j, k) \in I$ .

For the convenience, we also write  $\mathbf{a} \doteq \mathbf{a}'$  for  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in V_L$  if each of the coefficients of  $e_{j,k}^i$  in  $\mathbf{a}$  is equal to that in  $\mathbf{a}'$  in  $\overline{W}$ .

**Step I.** (Proof for  $a_{j,k}^n(Q) \doteq 0$ .)

From the relation **(N1)**:  $Q^n = 1$ , we obtain

$$f(Q^n) = (1 + Q + Q^2 + \cdots + Q^{n-1})f(Q) = 0.$$

For any  $1 \leq j < k \leq n$ , observing the coefficient of  $e_{j,k}^n$  in the equation above, we see

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{j,k}^n(Q) + a_{j+1,k+1}^1(Q) + a_{j+2,k+2}^2(Q) \cdots + a_{j+n-k,n}^{n-k}(Q) \\ & - a_{1,j+n-k+1}^{n-k+1}(Q) - \cdots - a_{k-j,n}^{n-j}(Q) + a_{1,k-j+1}^{n-j+1}(Q) + \cdots + a_{j-1,k-1}^{n-1}(Q) \doteq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $a_{j,k}^n(Q) \doteq 0$ . Therefore we see  $f(Q) \doteq 0$ .

**Step II.** (Some relations among  $a_{j,k}^i(P)$  and  $a_{j,k}^i(S)$ .)

Here we consider some linear relations among  $a_{j,k}^i(P)$  and  $a_{j,k}^i(S)$ .

From the relation **(N1)**:  $P^2 = 1$ , we see

$$f(P^2) = (1 + P)f(P) \doteq 0.$$

Observing the coefficients of  $e_{j,k}^1, e_{1,k}^1, e_{1,2}^1, e_{2,k}^1$  and  $e_{1,k}^i$  in the equation above, we obtain

$$(3) \quad a_{j,k}^1(P) + a_{j,k}^2(P) \doteq 0, \quad 3 \leq j < k \leq n,$$

$$(4) \quad a_{1,k}^1(P) + a_{2,k}^2(P) \doteq 0, \quad 3 \leq k \leq n,$$

$$(5) \quad a_{1,2}^1(P) - a_{1,2}^2(P) \doteq 0,$$

$$(6) \quad a_{2,k}^1(P) + a_{1,k}^2(P) \doteq 0, \quad 3 \leq k \leq n,$$

$$(7) \quad a_{1,k}^i(P) + a_{2,k}^i(P) \doteq 0, \quad 3 \leq i, k \leq n$$

respectively.

On the other hand, from the relation **(N1)**:  $S^2 = 1$ , we see

$$f(S^2) = (1 + S)f(S) \doteq 0.$$

Observing the coefficients of  $e_{j,k}^i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$  and  $2 \leq j < k \leq n$  in the equation above, we see  $2a_{j,k}^i(S) \doteq 0$ . Since  $L$  does not contain any 2-torsions, we obtain  $a_{j,k}^i(S) \doteq 0$ . Similarly, from the coefficients of  $e_{1,k}^1$  for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ , we see  $a_{1,k}^1(S) \doteq 0$ .

These relations are often used later.

**Step III.** (Proof for  $a_{j,k}^i(U) \doteq 0$ .) This step consists of six parts.

(i) (Proof for  $a_{1,2}^2(U) \doteq a_{1,k}^i(U) \doteq 0$ .) From the relation **(N3)**:  $(PSPU)^2 = 1$ , we have

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} (PSPU+1)f(PSPU) \\ = (PSPU+1)(f(P) + Pf(S) + PSf(P) + PSPf(U)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The actions of  $PS$ ,  $PSP$  and  $PSPU$  on  $e_k$  and  $e_k^*$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} PS \cdot e_k &= \begin{cases} -e_2, & k=1, \\ e_1, & k=2, \\ e_k, & k \neq 1, 2, \end{cases} & PS \cdot e_k^* &= \begin{cases} -e_2^*, & k=1, \\ e_1^*, & k=2, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq 1, 2, \end{cases} \\ PSP \cdot e_k &= \begin{cases} -e_2, & k=2, \\ e_k, & k \neq 2, \end{cases} & PSP \cdot e_k^* &= \begin{cases} -e_2^*, & k=2, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq 2, \end{cases} \\ PSPU \cdot e_k &= \begin{cases} e_1 + e_2, & k=1, \\ -e_2, & k=2, \\ e_k, & k \neq 1, 2, \end{cases} & PSPU \cdot e_k^* &= \begin{cases} -e_2^* + e_1^*, & k=2, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Using this, we see that the coefficient of  $e_{2,k}^i$  for  $3 \leq i, k \leq n$  in  $(PSPU+1)f(PSPU)$  is equal to the coefficient of  $e_{1,k}^i$  in  $f(PSPU)$ , and to

$$a_{1,k}^i(P) + a_{2,k}^i(S) + a_{2,k}^i(P) + a_{1,k}^i(U) \doteq a_{1,k}^i(U)$$

by the argument above in Step III. Hence we obtain  $a_{1,k}^i(U) \doteq 0$ . Similarly, from the coefficient of  $e_{1,2}^1$  in  $(PSPU+1)f(PSPU)$ , which is equal to the coefficient of  $e_{1,2}^2$  in  $f(PSPU)$  times  $-1$ , we see

$$-(a_{1,2}^2(P) - a_{1,2}^1(S) - a_{1,2}^1(P) + a_{1,2}^2(U)) \doteq -a_{1,2}^2(U) \doteq 0.$$

(ii) (Proof for  $a_{1,k}^2(U) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq k \leq n$ .) In general, if elements  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut } F_n$  are commute, we have  $f(\sigma) + \sigma f(\tau) = f(\tau) + \tau f(\sigma)$  from a relation  $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$ . Hence, we see

$$(9) \quad (\tau - 1)f(\sigma) = (\sigma - 1)f(\tau).$$

Applying (9) for  $\sigma = U$  and  $\tau = Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1}$  for  $3 \leq l \leq n-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1} - 1)f(U) &= (U - 1)f(Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1}) \\ &= (U - 1)(f(Q^{-(l-1)}) + Q^{-(l-1)}f(U) + Q^{-(l-1)}Uf(Q^{l-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(Q) \doteq 0$  by Step I, we see

$$(10) \quad (Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1} - 1)f(U) \doteq (U - 1)Q^{-(l-1)}f(U).$$

Here the actions of  $Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1}$  on  $e_k$  and  $e_k^*$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1} \cdot e_k &= \begin{cases} e_l - e_{l+1}, & k = l, \\ e_k, & k \neq l, \end{cases} \\ Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1} \cdot e_k^* &= \begin{cases} e_{l+1}^* + e_l^*, & k = l + 1, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq l + 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Observing this, we see the coefficient of  $\mathbf{e}_{2,l}^{l+1}$  of  $(Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1} - 1)f(U)$  is equal to 0. On the other hand, that of  $(U - 1)Q^{-(l-1)}f(U)$  is equal to

$$\text{the coefficient of } \mathbf{e}_{1,l}^{l+1} \text{ of } Q^{-(l-1)}f(U) \text{ times } -1,$$

and to

$$\text{the coefficient of } \mathbf{e}_{1,n+2-l}^2 \text{ of } f(U).$$

Hence, we see

$$a_{1,n+2-l}^2(U) \doteq 0$$

for  $3 \leq l \leq n - 1$ . Namely,  $a_{1,k}^2(U) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq k \leq n - 1$ .

Similarly, applying (9) for  $\sigma = U$  and  $\tau = Q^{-(l-1)}PQ^{l-1}$  for  $3 \leq l \leq n - 1$ , we have

$$(11) \quad (Q^{-(l-1)}PQ^{l-1} - 1)f(U) \doteq (U - 1)Q^{-(l-1)}f(P).$$

Here the actions of  $Q^{-(l-1)}PQ^{l-1}$  on  $e_k$  and  $e_k^*$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{-(l-1)}PQ^{l-1} \cdot e_k &= \begin{cases} e_{l+1}, & k = l, \\ e_l, & k = l + 1, \\ e_k, & k \neq l, l + 1 \end{cases} \\ Q^{-(l-1)}PQ^{l-1} \cdot e_k^* &= \begin{cases} e_{l+1}^*, & k = l, \\ e_l^*, & k = l + 1, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq l, l + 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Using this, from the coefficient of  $\mathbf{e}_{1,l}^2$  in (11), we see

$$a_{1,l+1}^2(U) - a_{1,l}^2(U) \doteq 0$$

for  $3 \leq l \leq n - 1$ . In particular, we have  $a_{1,n}^2(U) \doteq a_{1,n-1}^2(U) \doteq 0$ .

**(iii)** (Proof for  $a_{j,k}^2(U) \doteq 0$  for  $2 \leq j < k \leq n$ .) First, we show  $a_{2,k}^2(U) \doteq 0$ . Now, observing the coefficients of  $\mathbf{e}_{1,k}^1$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{2,k}^1$  for  $3 \leq k \leq n$  in  $(PSPU + 1)f(PSPU)$ , which are equal to those of  $2\mathbf{e}_{1,k}^1 + \mathbf{e}_{1,k}^2$  and  $-\mathbf{e}_{2,k}^2 + \mathbf{e}_{1,k}^2$  in  $f(PSPU)$  respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2a_{1,k}^1(P) + a_{1,k}^2(P) + 2a_{2,k}^2(S) + a_{2,k}^1(S) + 2a_{2,k}^2(P) \\ - a_{2,k}^1(P) + 2a_{1,k}^1(U) - a_{1,k}^2(U) \doteq 0, \\ -a_{2,k}^2(P) + a_{1,k}^2(P) - a_{1,k}^1(S) + a_{2,k}^1(S) - a_{1,k}^1(P) - a_{2,k}^1(P) \\ - a_{2,k}^2(U) - a_{1,k}^2(U) \doteq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4), and  $a_{1,k}^1(S) \doteq a_{2,k}^2(S) \doteq 0$ , we have

$$(12) \quad a_{1,k}^2(P) + a_{2,k}^1(S) - a_{2,k}^1(P) + 2a_{1,k}^1(U) - a_{1,k}^2(U) \doteq 0,$$

$$(13) \quad a_{1,k}^2(P) + a_{2,k}^1(S) - a_{2,k}^1(P) - a_{2,k}^2(U) - a_{1,k}^2(U) \doteq 0.$$

Then, considering (12) - (13), we obtain  $a_{2,k}^2(U) \doteq -2a_{1,k}^1(U) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq k \leq n$ .

Next, we show  $a_{j,k}^2(U) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq j < k \leq n$ . For  $3 \leq j < l \leq n-1$ , from the coefficient of  $e_{j,l+1}^2$  in (10), we see  $a_{j,l}^2(U) \doteq 0$ . Similarly, for  $3 \leq l \leq n-2$ , from the coefficient of  $e_{l+1,n}^2$  in (10), we see  $a_{l,n}^2(U) \doteq 0$ . To see  $a_{n-1,n}^2(U) \doteq 0$ , we use (11). Observing the coefficient of  $e_{n-2,n}^2$  in (11) for  $l = n-2$ , we see  $a_{n-1,n}^2(U) \doteq a_{n-2,n}^2(U) \doteq 0$ .

(iv) (Proof for  $a_{1,2}^i(U) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n$ .) Observing the coefficient of  $e_{1,2}^l$  in (10), we see  $a_{1,2}^{l+1}(U) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq l \leq n-1$ . To show  $a_{1,2}^3(U) \doteq 0$ , considering the coefficient of  $e_{1,2}^3$  in (11), we see  $a_{1,2}^3(U) \doteq a_{1,2}^4(U) \doteq 0$ .

(v) (Proof for  $a_{j,k}^i(U) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n$  and  $3 \leq j < k \leq n$ .) First, we consider the case where  $i \neq j, k$  and  $j \neq k-1$ , and show that  $a_{j,k}^i(U) \doteq a_{*,*}^n(U)$ . For  $3 \leq l \leq n-1$  and  $j, k \neq l, l+1$ , from the coefficient of  $e_{j,k}^l$  in (11), we see

$$a_{j,k}^l(U) \doteq a_{j,k}^{l+1}(U).$$

Similarly, observing the coefficients of  $e_{j,l+1}^l$  and  $e_{l+1,k}^l$  in (11), we obtain

$$(14) \quad a_{j,l+1}^l(U) \doteq a_{j,l}^{l+1}(U), \quad 3 \leq j < l \leq n-1,$$

$$(15) \quad a_{l+1,k}^l(U) \doteq a_{l,k}^{l+1}(U), \quad 3 \leq l < k-1 \leq n-1$$

respectively. Using these equations, we obtain,

$$(16) \quad a_{j,k}^i(U) \doteq a_{j,k}^{i+1}(U) \doteq \dots \doteq a_{j,k}^n(U), \quad k+1 \leq i$$

$$(17)$$

$$a_{j,k}^i(U) \doteq a_{j,k}^{i+1}(U) \doteq \dots \doteq a_{j,k}^{k-1}(U) \stackrel{(14)}{\doteq} a_{j,k-1}^k(U) \stackrel{(16)}{\doteq} a_{j,k-1}^n(U), \quad j+1 \leq i \leq k-1,$$

$$(18) \quad a_{j,k}^i(U) \doteq a_{j,k}^{i+1}(U) \doteq \dots \doteq a_{j,k}^{j-1}(U) \stackrel{(15)}{\doteq} a_{j-1,k}^j(U) \stackrel{(17)}{\doteq} a_{j-1,k-1}^n(U), \quad i \leq j-1.$$

Hence it suffices to show that  $a_{j,k}^n(U) \doteq 0$  for  $j < k-1$  and  $k \leq n-1$ . The reason why we consider only  $k \leq n-1$  is that an element type of  $a_{j,n}^n(U)$  never appear in the above. Then, observing the coefficient of  $e_{j+1,k}^n$  in (11) for  $l = j$ , we obtain the required result.

Next, we consider the other cases. If  $j = k-1$ , by the same argument as (18) and (16), we have

$$a_{k-1,k}^i(U) \doteq \begin{cases} a_{k-1,k}^{k-2}(U) \stackrel{(18)}{\doteq} a_{k-2,k-1}^n(U) \doteq 0, & i \leq k-2, \\ a_{k-1,k}^{k+1}(U) \stackrel{(16)}{\doteq} a_{k-1,k}^n(U) \doteq 0, & i \geq k+1. \end{cases}$$

For the case where  $i = j, k$ , we prepare some relations as follows. By the coefficients of  $e_{j,l}^l$  and  $e_{l,k}^l$  in (11), we see

$$(19) \quad a_{j,l}^l(U) \doteq a_{j,l+1}^{l+1}(U), \quad 3 \leq j < l \leq n-1,$$

$$(20) \quad a_{l,k}^l(U) \doteq a_{l+1,k}^{l+1}(U), \quad 3 \leq l < k-1 \leq n-1$$

respectively. Then by (19) and (20),

$$a_{j,i}^i(U) \doteq a_{j,j+1}^{j+1}(U), \quad a_{i,k}^i(U) \doteq a_{k-1,k}^{k-1}(U).$$

Hence it suffices to show that  $a_{l,l+1}^l(U) \doteq a_{l,l+1}^{l+1}(U) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq l \leq n-1$ . From the coefficients of  $e_{l,l+1}^l$  in (11) and (10), we have

$$a_{l,l+1}^l(U) \doteq -a_{l,l+1}^{l+1}(U), \quad a_{l,l+1}^{l+1}(U) \doteq 0$$

for  $3 \leq l \leq n-1$  respectively. This shows the required result.

(vi) (Proof for  $a_{2,k}^i(U) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq i, k \leq n$ .) First, we consider the case where  $i \neq k$ . For  $k \neq l+1$ , in the equation (10), the coefficient of  $e_{2,k}^l$  of  $(Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1} - 1)f(U)$  is  $a_{2,k}^{l+1}(U)$ . On the other hand, that of  $(U-1)Q^{-(l-1)}f(U)$  is equal to the coefficient of  $e_{1,k}^l$  of  $Q^{-(l-1)}f(U)$  times  $-1$ , and hence  $a_{k-l+1,n+2-l}^1(U)$ . Therefore, we have

$$(21) \quad a_{2,k}^{l+1}(U) \doteq a_{k-l+1,n+2-l}^1(U) \doteq 0$$

for  $3 \leq l \leq n-1$  and  $k \neq l+1$ .

Similarly, from the coefficient of  $e_{2,l+1}^3$  in (10), we see

$$a_{2,l}^3(U) \doteq -a_{2,n+2-l}^{n+4-l}(U) \doteq 0$$

from (21) for  $4 \leq l \leq n-1$ . To show  $a_{2,n}^3(U) \doteq 0$ , we consider a relation

$$[U, Q^{-(n-2)}PUP^{-1}Q^{(n-2)}] = 1.$$

Using (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (Q^{-(n-2)}PUP^{-1}Q^{(n-2)} - 1)f(U) \\ &= (U-1)f(Q^{-(n-2)}PUP^{-1}Q^{(n-2)}) \\ &\doteq (U-1)Q^{-(n-2)}(f(P) + Pf(U) - PUP^{-1}f(P)). \end{aligned}$$

Here the actions of  $Q^{-(l-2)}PUP^{-1}Q^{(l-2)}$  for  $2 \leq l \leq n$  on  $e_k$  and  $e_k^*$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{-(l-2)}PUP^{-1}Q^{(l-2)} \cdot e_k &= \begin{cases} e_l - e_{l-1}, & k = l, \\ e_k, & k \neq l, \end{cases} \\ Q^{-(l-2)}PUP^{-1}Q^{(l-2)} \cdot e_k^* &= \begin{cases} e_{l-1}^* + e_l^*, & k = l-1, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq l-1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then the coefficient of  $e_{2,n-1}^3$  of  $(Q^{-(n-2)}PUP^{-1}Q^{(n-2)} - 1)f(U)$  is given by  $-a_{2,n}^3(U)$ .

On the other hand, that of  $(U-1)Q^{-(n-2)}(f(P) + Pf(U) - PUP^{-1}f(P))$  is equal to

the coefficient of  $e_{1,n-1}^3$  of  $Q^{-(n-2)}(f(P) + Pf(U) - PUP^{-1}f(P))$  times  $-1$ ,

and to

$$\text{the coefficient of } e_{2,3}^5 \text{ of } f(P) + Pf(U) - PUP^{-1}f(P),$$

and to

$$a_{2,3}^5(P) + a_{1,3}^5(U) - a_{2,3}^5(P) = a_{1,3}^5(U).$$

Hence we obtain  $a_{2,n}^3(U) \doteq -a_{1,3}^5(U) \doteq 0$ .

Finally, we consider the case where  $i = k$ . In (10), the coefficients of  $\mathbf{e}_{2,l+1}^l$  of  $(Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1} - 1)f(U)$  is equal to

$$a_{2,l+1}^{l+1}(U) - a_{2,l}^l(U) - a_{2,l}^{l+1}(U) \doteq a_{2,l+1}^{l+1}(U) - a_{2,l}^l(U).$$

On the other hand, that of  $(U - 1)Q^{-(l-1)}f(U)$  is equal to

$$\text{the coefficient of } \mathbf{e}_{1,l+1}^l \text{ of } Q^{-(l-1)}f(U) \text{ times } -1,$$

and to  $a_{2,2-l+n}^1(U) \doteq 0$ . Then we obtain  $a_{2,l+1}^{l+1}(U) \doteq a_{2,l}^l(U)$  for  $3 \leq l \leq n-1$ , and hence

$$a_{2,n}^n(U) \doteq a_{2,n-1}^{n-1}(U) \doteq \cdots \doteq a_{2,3}^3(U) \doteq 0.$$

Therefore we see  $a_{j,k}^i(U) \doteq 0$  for any  $(i, j, k) \in I$ . This shows that  $f(U) \doteq 0$ . This completes the proof of Step III.

**Step IV.** (Proof for  $a_{j,k}^i(P) \doteq 0$ .)

By a result obtained in Step II, it suffices to show  $a_{j,k}^i(P) \doteq 0$  for  $i \neq 2$ .

(i) (Proof for  $a_{j,k}^1(P) \doteq 0$  for  $1 \leq j < k \leq n$ .) From a relation  $(PQ^{-1}UQ)^2 = UQ^{-1}UQU^{-1}$  and a result  $f(Q) \doteq f(U) \doteq 0$  as above, we see

$$(1 + PQ^{-1}UQ)f(PQ^{-1}UQ) \doteq f(UQ^{-1}UQU^{-1}) \doteq 0,$$

and hence

$$(22) \quad (1 + PQ^{-1}UQ)f(P) \doteq 0.$$

The actions of  $PQ^{-1}UQ$  on  $e_k$  and  $e_k^*$  are given by

$$PQ^{-1}UQ \cdot e_k = \begin{cases} e_2, & k = 1, \\ e_1 - e_3, & k = 2, \\ e_k, & k \neq 1, 2, \end{cases} \quad PQ^{-1}UQ \cdot e_k^* = \begin{cases} e_2^*, & k = 1, \\ e_1^*, & k = 2, \\ e_1^* + e_3^*, & k = 3, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq 1, 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

Using this, we see that the coefficients of  $\mathbf{e}_{2,3}^2$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{3,k}^2$  in (22) are calculated as

$$a_{2,3}^2(P) + a_{1,3}^1(P) - a_{1,2}^1(P) \doteq 0,$$

$$a_{3,k}^2(P) + a_{3,k}^1(P) - a_{2,k}^1(P) \doteq 0, \quad 4 \leq k \leq n$$

respectively. Then from (4) and (3), we obtain  $a_{1,2}^1(P) \doteq 0$  and  $a_{2,k}^1(P) \doteq 0$  for  $4 \leq k \leq n$ .

Next, applying (9) for  $\sigma = P$  and  $\tau = Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1}$  for  $3 \leq l \leq n-1$ , we have

$$(23) \quad (Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1} - 1)f(P) \doteq (U - 1)f(Q^{-(l-1)}UQ^{l-1}) \doteq 0.$$

By the coefficient of  $\mathbf{e}_{2,l+1}^1$  in the equation above, we see  $-a_{2,l}^1(P) \doteq 0$ . In particular,  $a_{2,3}^1(P) \doteq 0$ . Furthermore, from the coefficient of  $\mathbf{e}_{1,l+1}^1$ , we see

$$a_{1,l}^1(P) \doteq 0, \quad 3 \leq l \leq n-1.$$



Now, from a relation  $(QP)^{n-1} = 1$ ,

$$(1 + QP + \cdots + (QP)^{n-2})(f(Q) + Qf(P)) \doteq 0,$$

and hence

$$(24) \quad (1 + QP + \cdots + (QP)^{n-2})Qf(P) \doteq 0.$$

By the coefficient of  $e_{1,2}^1$  in (24), we see

$$a_{2,3}^2(P) + a_{2,4}^2(P) + \cdots + a_{2,n}^2(P) - a_{1,2}^2(P) \doteq 0.$$

Then using (4) and (5), we obtain  $a_{1,n}^1(P) \doteq 0$ .

Subsequently, we consider  $a_{j,k}^1(P)$  for  $3 \leq j < k \leq n$ . From the coefficient of  $e_{j,l+1}^1$  in (23) for  $3 \leq j < l \leq n-1$ , we obtain

$$(25) \quad a_{j,l}^1(P) \doteq 0.$$

On the other hand, from the coefficient of  $e_{l+1,n}^1$  in (23), we see  $a_{l,n}^1(P) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq j \leq n-2$ . Furthermore, observing the coefficient of  $e_{n-1,n}^1$  in (24), we see

$$-a_{1,2}^2(P) + a_{1,3}^2(P) + a_{3,4}^2(P) + \cdots + a_{n-2,n-1}^2(P) + a_{n-1,n}^2(P) \doteq 0,$$

and hence  $a_{n-1,n}^1(P) \doteq -a_{n-1,n}^2(P) \doteq 0$ . Therefore we have  $a_{j,k}^1(P) \doteq a_{j,k}^2(P) \doteq 0$  for any  $1 \leq j < k \leq n$ .

(ii) (Proof for  $a_{1,k}^i(P) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n$  and  $2 \leq k \leq n$ .) First, we consider the case where  $i \neq k$ . Observing the coefficients of  $e_{1,k}^l$  and  $e_{1,l+1}^3$  in (23), we see

$$(26) \quad a_{1,k}^{l+1}(P) \doteq 0, \quad 3 \leq l \leq n-1, \quad 2 \leq k \neq l+1,$$

$$(27) \quad a_{1,l}^3(P) \doteq 0, \quad 4 \leq l \leq n-1$$

respectively. Hence, it suffices to show that  $a_{1,2}^3(P) \doteq a_{1,n}^3(P) \doteq 0$ .

By the coefficient of  $e_{1,2}^3$  in (24), we see

$$a_{2,3}^4(P) + a_{2,4}^5(P) + \cdots + a_{2,n-1}^n(P) + a_{2,n}^1(P) - a_{1,2}^3(P) \doteq 0.$$

From (7),

$$-a_{1,3}^4(P) - a_{1,4}^5(P) - \cdots - a_{1,n-1}^n(P) + a_{2,n}^1(P) \doteq a_{1,2}^3(P),$$

and hence  $a_{1,2}^3(P) \doteq 0$ . Similarly, the coefficient of  $e_{1,n}^3$  in (24), we see

$$-a_{1,2}^4(P) + a_{2,3}^5(P) + \cdots + a_{2,n-2}^n(P) + a_{2,n-1}^1(P) + a_{1,n}^3(P) \doteq 0,$$

and

$$-a_{1,2}^4(P) - a_{1,3}^5(P) - \cdots - a_{1,n-2}^n(P) + a_{2,n-1}^1(P) \doteq a_{1,n}^3(P),$$

and hence  $a_{1,n}^3(P) \doteq 0$ .

Next, we consider the case where  $i = k$ . By the coefficient of  $e_{1,l+1}^l$  in (23),

$$(28) \quad a_{1,l+1}^{l+1}(P) \doteq a_{1,l}^l(P) - a_{1,l}^{l+1}(P) \stackrel{(26)}{\doteq} a_{1,l}^l(P), \quad 3 \leq l \leq n-1,$$

Hence it suffices to show that  $a_{1,3}^3(P) \doteq 0$ . On the other hand, by the coefficient of  $e_{2,3}^1$  in (22), we see

$$a_{2,3}^1(P) + a_{2,3}^2(P) - a_{1,2}^2(P) - a_{1,2}^3(P) + a_{1,3}^3(P) \doteq 0,$$

and hence  $a_{1,3}^3(P) \doteq 0$ .

From the argument above, we obtain  $a_{1,k}^i(P) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n$  and  $2 \leq k \leq n$ . We remark that this also shows that  $a_{2,k}^i(P) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n$  and  $3 \leq k \leq n$  by (7).

(iii) (Proof for  $a_{j,k}^i(P) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n$  and  $3 \leq j < k \leq n$ .) First, we consider the case where  $i \geq 4$ . By the coefficient of  $e_{j,k}^l$  in (23), we see

$$(29) \quad a_{j,k}^{l+1}(P) \doteq 0$$

for  $3 \leq l \leq n-1$  and  $j, k \neq l+1$ . Hence  $a_{j,k}^i(P) \doteq 0$  for  $4 \leq i \leq n$  and  $i \neq j, k$ .

If  $i = j$  or  $i = k$ , observe the coefficients of  $e_{l,l+1}^l$ ,  $e_{j,l+1}^l$  and  $e_{l+1,k}^l$  in (23). Then we see

$$(30) \quad a_{l,l+1}^{l+1}(P) \doteq 0, \quad 3 \leq l \leq n-1,$$

$$(31) \quad a_{j,l+1}^{l+1}(P) - a_{j,l}^l(P) - a_{j,l}^{l+1}(P) \doteq 0, \quad 3 \leq l \leq n-1, \quad j < l$$

$$(32) \quad a_{l+1,k}^{l+1}(P) - a_{l,k}^l(P) - a_{l,k}^{l+1}(P) \doteq 0, \quad 3 \leq l \leq n-1, \quad l+1 < k$$

respectively. By (29), the equations (31) and (32) are equivalent to

$$a_{j,l+1}^{l+1}(P) \doteq a_{j,l}^l(P), \quad a_{l+1,k}^{l+1}(P) \doteq a_{l,k}^l(P)$$

respectively. Using this and (30), we see

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j,n}^n(P) &\doteq a_{j,n-1}^{n-1}(P) \doteq \cdots \doteq a_{j,j+1}^{j+1}(P) \doteq 0 \\ a_{j,j+1}^j(P) &\doteq a_{j-1,j+1}^{j-1}(P) \doteq \cdots \doteq a_{3,j+1}^3(P) \end{aligned}$$

for  $3 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Hence the proof of Step IV is finished if we show  $a_{j,k}^3(P) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq j < k \leq n$ .

From the coefficients of  $e_{j,l+1}^3$  and  $e_{l+1,n}^3$  in (23), we see

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j,l}^3(P) &\doteq 0, \quad 3 \leq j < l \leq n-1, \\ a_{l,n}^3(P) &\doteq 0, \quad 4 \leq l \leq n-2 \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Hence it suffices to show  $a_{3,n}^3(P) \doteq a_{n-1,n}^3(P) \doteq 0$ . Then observing the coefficients of  $e_{3,n}^3$  and  $e_{n-1,n}^3$  in (24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &-a_{1,4}^4(P) - a_{3,5}^5(P) - \cdots - a_{n-2,n}^n(P) + a_{1,n-1}^1(P) + a_{3,n}^3(P) \doteq 0, \\ &-a_{1,n}^4(P) + a_{1,3}^5(P) + a_{3,4}^6(P) + \cdots + a_{n-3,n-2}^n(P) + a_{n-2,n-1}^1(P) + a_{n-1,n}^3(P) \doteq 0. \end{aligned}$$

These equations induce the required results. Therefore we obtain  $a_{j,k}^i(P) \doteq 0$  for any  $(i, j, k) \in I$ . This shows that  $f(P) \doteq 0$ . This completes the proof of Step IV.

**Step V.** (The rest of the proof for  $a_{j,k}^i(S) \doteq 0$ .)

Here we show that  $a_{1,k}^i(S) \doteq 0$  for  $i, k \geq 2$ , and  $a_{j,k}^1(S) \doteq 0$  for  $2 \leq j < k \leq n$ .

By the relation (N11):  $SUSPS = PU^{-1}PU$ , we have

$$(33) \quad (1 + SU + SUSP)f(S) = f(PU^{-1}PU) \doteq 0.$$

The actions of  $SU$  and  $SUSP$  on  $e_k$  and  $e_k^*$  are given by

$$SU \cdot e_k = \begin{cases} -e_1 - e_2, & k = 1, \\ e_k, & k \neq 1, \end{cases} \quad SU \cdot e_k^* = \begin{cases} -e_1^*, & k = 1, \\ -e_1^* + e_2^*, & k = 2, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

$$SUSP \cdot e_k = \begin{cases} e_2, & k = 1, \\ e_1 + e_2, & k = 2, \\ e_k, & k \neq 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad SUSP \cdot e_k^* = \begin{cases} -e_1^* + e_2^*, & k = 1, \\ e_1^*, & k = 2, \\ e_k^*, & k \neq 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

Using this, for  $3 \leq k \leq n$ , from the coefficients  $e_{1,k}^1$  and  $e_{1,k}^2$  in (33), we obtain

$$2a_{1,k}^1(S) + a_{1,k}^2(S) + a_{2,k}^2(S) - a_{2,k}^1(S) \doteq 0, \\ a_{2,k}^1(S) \doteq 0$$

respectively. Hence  $a_{1,k}^2(S) \doteq a_{2,k}^1(S) \doteq 0$ .

Next, we show  $a_{1,k}^i(S) \doteq 0$  for  $i \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Applying (9) for  $\sigma = S$  and  $\tau = QP$ , we have

$$(34) \quad (QP - 1)f(S) = (S - 1)f(QP) \doteq 0.$$

The actions of  $QP$  on  $e_k$  and  $e_k^*$  are given by

$$QP \cdot e_k = \begin{cases} e_1, & k = 1, \\ e_n, & k = 2, \\ e_{k-1}, & k \neq 1, 2, \end{cases} \quad QP \cdot e_k^* = \begin{cases} e_1^*, & k = 1, \\ e_n^*, & k = 2, \\ e_{k-1}^*, & k \neq 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

Using this, from the coefficients  $e_{1,k}^i$  in (34), we see

$$(35) \quad a_{1,k+1}^{i+1}(S) \doteq a_{1,k}^i(S), \quad 2 \leq i, k \leq n-1,$$

$$(36) \quad a_{1,2}^{i+1}(S) \doteq a_{1,n}^i(S), \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad k = n.$$

From (35), if  $i \leq k$ ,

$$a_{1,k}^i(S) \doteq a_{1,k-1}^{i-1}(S) \doteq \cdots \doteq a_{1,k+2-i}^2(S) \doteq 0,$$

and hence from (35) if  $i > k$ ,

$$a_{1,k}^i(S) \doteq a_{1,k-1}^{i-1}(S) \doteq \cdots \doteq a_{1,2}^{i+2-k}(S) \doteq 0.$$

Finally, we show  $a_{j,k}^1(S) \doteq 0$  for  $3 \leq j < k \leq n$ . From the coefficient  $e_{j,k}^1$  in (34), we see

$$a_{j+1,k+1}^1(S) \doteq a_{j,k}^1(S)$$

for  $2 \leq j < k \leq n-1$ . This shows that for  $3 \leq j < k \leq n$ ,

$$a_{j,k}^1(S) \doteq a_{j-1,k-1}^1(S) \doteq \cdots \doteq a_{2,k+2-j}^1(S) \doteq 0.$$

Therefore we obtain  $a_{j,k}^i(S) \doteq 0$  for any  $(i, j, k) \in I$ . This completes the proof of Step V.

From the argument above, we verify that any  $a_{j,k}^i(\sigma) \in W$  belongs to the submodule generated by (2). Namely, a crossed homomorphism  $f \in \text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  is determined by (2). In other words, the map  $\Phi : \text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) \rightarrow L^{\oplus(n^3-n^2+4)/2}$

is injective. Let  $W' = L^{\oplus(n^3-n^2+4)/2}$  be the target of the map above. We consider  $\text{Cros}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  as a submodule of  $W'$ . In the next Step, we study the quotient  $L$ -module  $W'/\text{Prin}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$ .

**Step VI.** (The structure of  $W'/\text{Prin}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$ .)

Here, we show that  $W'/\text{Prin}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  is a free  $L$ -module of rank 2. For any element

$$\mathbf{a} := \sum_{(i,j,k) \in I} a_{j,k}^i \mathbf{e}_{j,k}^i \in V_L,$$

let  $f_{\mathbf{a}} : \text{Aut } F_n \rightarrow V$  be the principal homomorphism associated to  $\mathbf{a}$ . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{a}}(Q) = & \sum_{i \neq n, 1 \leq j < k \leq n-1} (a_{j+1,k+1}^{i+1} - a_{j,k}^i) \mathbf{e}_{j,k}^i + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} (-a_{1,j+1}^{i+1} - a_{j,n}^i) \mathbf{e}_{j,n}^i \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (a_{j+1,k+1}^1 - a_{j,k}^n) \mathbf{e}_{j,k}^n + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} (-a_{1,j+1}^1 - a_{j,n}^n) \mathbf{e}_{j,n}^n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{a}}(U) = & \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} a_{1,k}^2 \mathbf{e}_{1,k}^1 + \sum_{3 \leq k \leq n} (a_{2,k}^2 - a_{1,k}^1 - a_{1,k}^2) \mathbf{e}_{2,k}^1 + \sum_{3 \leq j < k \leq n} a_{j,k}^2 \mathbf{e}_{j,k}^1 \\ & + \sum_{3 \leq k \leq n} -a_{1,k}^2 \mathbf{e}_{2,k}^2 + \sum_{3 \leq i, k \leq n} -a_{1,k}^i \mathbf{e}_{2,k}^i. \end{aligned}$$

In order to determine the  $L$ -module structure of  $W'/\text{Prin}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$ , it suffices to find the elementary divisors of an  $n^2(n-1)/2 \times (n^3-n^2+4)/2$  matrix:

$$A := \begin{matrix} & a_{j,k}^1(Q) & a_{j,k}^2(Q) & \cdots & a_{j,k}^{n-1}(Q) & a_{j,k}^1(U) & a_{1,2}^2(S) & a_{2,3}^3(U) \\ \begin{matrix} a_{j,k}^1 \\ a_{j,k}^2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{j,k}^n \end{matrix} & \left( \begin{array}{ccccccc} A^{1,1} & A^{1,2} & \cdots & A^{1,n-1} & A^{1,n} & A^{1,n+1} & A^{1,n+2} \\ A^{2,1} & A^{2,2} & \cdots & A^{2,n-1} & A^{2,n} & A^{2,n+1} & A^{2,n+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A^{n,1} & A^{n,2} & \cdots & A^{n,n-1} & A^{n,n} & A^{n,n+1} & A^{n,n+2} \end{array} \right) \end{matrix}$$

which row is indexed by  $a_{j,k}^i$ s, and which column is indexed by (2). Here each  $A^{p,q}$  is a block matrix defined as follows. First, we consider the case where  $1 \leq q \leq n-1$ . For  $\sigma = P, Q, S$  and  $U$ , set

$$f_{\mathbf{a}}(\sigma) := \sum_{(i,j,k) \in I} a_{j,k}^i(\sigma) \mathbf{e}_{j,k}^i \in V_L.$$

Then, for any  $1 \leq j_2 < k_2 \leq n$ , we have

$$a_{j_2,k_2}^q(Q) = \sum_{(p,j_1,k_1) \in I} C_{(j_1,k_1), (j_2,k_2)}^{p,q} a_{j_1,k_1}^p$$

for some  $C_{(j_1, k_1), (j_2, k_2)}^{p, q} \in L$ . Then the matrix  $A^{p, q}$  is defined by

$$A^{p, q} := \begin{matrix} & a_{1,2}^q(Q) & a_{1,3}^q(Q) & \cdots & a_{n-1,n}^q(Q) \\ \begin{matrix} a_{1,2}^p \\ a_{1,3}^p \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1,n}^p \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} C_{(1,2), (1,2)}^{p,q} & C_{(1,2), (1,3)}^{p,q} & \cdots & C_{(1,2), (n-1,n)}^{p,q} \\ C_{(1,3), (1,2)}^{p,q} & C_{(1,3), (1,3)}^{p,q} & \cdots & C_{(1,3), (n-1,n)}^{p,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ C_{(n-1,n), (1,2)}^{p,q} & C_{(n-1,n), (1,3)}^{p,q} & \cdots & C_{(n-1,n), (n-1,n)}^{p,q} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

where the rows are indexed by  $a_{j,k}^p$ s according to the usual lexicographic order on the set  $\{(j, k) \mid 1 \leq j < k \leq n\}$ . Similarly, the columns are indexed by  $a_{j,k}^q(Q)$ s.

By an argument similar to the above, the block matrices  $A^{p,n}$ ,  $A^{p,n+1}$  and  $A^{p,n+2}$  for  $1 \leq p \leq n$  are defined from  $a_{j,k}^1(U)$ s,  $a_{1,2}^2(S)$  and  $a_{2,3}^3(U)$  respectively.

Set

$$A' := \begin{matrix} & a_{j,k}^1(Q) & a_{j,k}^2(Q) & \cdots & a_{j,k}^{n-1}(Q) & a_{j,k}^1(U) \\ \begin{matrix} a_{j,k}^1 \\ a_{j,k}^2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{j,k}^n \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} A^{1,1} & A^{1,2} & \cdots & A^{1,n-1} & A^{1,n} \\ A^{2,1} & A^{2,2} & \cdots & A^{2,n-1} & A^{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A^{n,1} & A^{n,2} & \cdots & A^{n,n-1} & A^{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

In the following, we prove that all elementary divisors of  $A$  are equal to  $1 \in L$  by showing that  $A'$  can be transformed into the identity matrix with only the elementary column operations. Then we conclude  $W'/\text{Prin}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) \cong L^{\oplus 2}$ .

First, we transform the  $a_{j,k}^1(U)$  columns of  $A'$ . To do this, we use the followings. From  $f_a(Q)$  and  $f_a(U)$  as above, the  $a_{1,k}^1(Q)$  columns, the  $a_{j,k}^1(U)$  columns for  $j \neq 2$  and the  $a_{2,k}^1(U)$  columns of  $A'$  are given by

$$\begin{matrix} & a_{1,k}^1(Q) & a_{1,n}^1(Q) & & a_{2,k}^1(U) \\ \begin{matrix} a_{1,k}^1 \\ a_{1,n}^1 \\ a_{1,2}^2 \\ a_{1,k+1}^2 \\ a_{2,k+1}^2 \\ \vdots \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} -E & O \\ O & -1 \\ O & -1 \\ O & O \\ E & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} & , & \begin{matrix} a_{j,k}^1(U) \\ a_{j,k}^2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{j,k}^2 \\ \vdots \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} O \\ -E \\ O \\ -E \\ E \\ O \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

respectively. Here  $E$  denotes the identity matrix.

Let us consider the  $a_{2,k}^1(U)$  columns of  $A'$ . Add the  $a_{1,k}^1(U)$  columns to  $a_{2,k}^1(U)$  columns for  $3 \leq k \leq n$ , and minus  $a_{1,k}^1(Q)$  columns from the  $a_{2,k}^1(U)$  columns for  $3 \leq k \leq n$ . Subsequently, by subtracting the  $a_{1,2}^1(U)$  column from the  $a_{2,n}^1(U)$  column,

we see that the  $a_{2,k}^1(U)$  columns of  $A'$  are transformed into

$$a_{2,k}^2 \begin{pmatrix} a_{2,k}^1(U) \\ O \\ X \\ O \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} a_{2,3}^2 & a_{2,4}^2 & \cdots & a_{2,n-1}^2 & a_{2,n}^2 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & & & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easily seen that  $X$  can be transformed into the identity matrix with the elementary column operations. Hence the  $a_{j,k}^1(U)$  columns of  $A'$  are transformed into

$$(37) \quad a_{j,k}^2 \begin{pmatrix} a_{j,k}^1(U) \\ O \\ E \\ O \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, for any  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , we consider the  $a_{j,k}^1(Q)$  columns given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{j,k}^1(Q) & a_{j,n}^1(Q) \\ a_{j,k}^1 & O \\ a_{j,n}^1 & -1 \\ \vdots & O \\ a_{1,j+1}^2 & -1 \\ \vdots & O \\ a_{j+1,k+1}^2 & O \\ \vdots & O \end{pmatrix}$$

Multiplying each column by  $-1$  and using (37), we can transform the  $a_{j,k}^1(Q)$  columns into

$$a_{j,k}^1 \begin{pmatrix} a_{j,k}^1(Q) \\ E \\ O \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we consider the  $a_{j,k}^2(Q)$  columns given by

$$\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
a_{1,k}^2 \\
a_{1,n}^2 \\
a_{2,l}^2 \\
a_{2,n}^2 \\
\vdots \\
a_{n-1,n}^2 \\
a_{1,2}^3 \\
a_{1,3}^3 \\
\vdots \\
a_{1,n}^3 \\
a_{2,k+1}^3 \\
a_{3,l+1}^3 \\
\vdots \\
a_{n-1,n}^3 \\
\vdots
\end{array}
\begin{pmatrix}
a_{1,k}^2(Q) & a_{1,n}^2(Q) & a_{2,l}^2(Q) & a_{2,n}^2(Q) & \cdots & a_{n-2,n-1}^2(Q) & a_{n-1,n}^2(Q) \\
O & O & O & O & \cdots & O & O \\
-E & O & O & O & \cdots & O & O \\
O & -1 & O & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
O & O & -E & O & \cdots & O & O \\
O & 0 & O & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
O & 0 & O & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\
O & -1 & O & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
O & 0 & O & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
O & O & O & O & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\
E & O & O & 0 & \cdots & O & O \\
O & O & E & O & \cdots & O & O \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
O & O & O & O & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\
O & O & O & O & \cdots & O & O
\end{pmatrix}.$$

respectively. Similarly, using (37) and the multiplication of  $-1$ , we can transform the  $a_{j,k}^2(Q)$  columns of  $A'$  into

$$\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
a_{j,k}^3 \\
\vdots
\end{array}
\begin{pmatrix}
a_{j,k}^2(Q) \\
O \\
E \\
O
\end{pmatrix}.$$

respectively.

By the same argument as above, for any  $3 \leq p \leq n-1$ , we can transform the  $a_{j,k}^p(Q)$  columns of  $A'$  into

$$\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
a_{j,k}^{p+1} \\
\vdots
\end{array}
\begin{pmatrix}
a_{j,k}^p(Q) \\
O \\
E \\
O
\end{pmatrix}$$

recursively from  $p = 3$  to  $n - 1$ . From the argument above, we can transform  $A'$  into

$$A' := \begin{matrix} & a_{j,k}^1(Q) & a_{j,k}^2(Q) & \cdots & a_{j,k}^{n-1}(Q) & a_{j,k}^1(U) \\ \begin{matrix} a_{j,k}^1 \\ a_{j,k}^2 \\ a_{j,k}^3 \\ \vdots \\ a_{j,k}^n \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} E & O & \cdots & O & O \\ O & O & \cdots & O & E \\ O & E & \cdots & O & O \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ O & O & \cdots & E & O \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

and into the identity matrix with only the elementary column operations. Therefore we conclude that all elementary divisors of  $A$  are equal to  $1 \in L$ . In particular, observing the process of the transformation of  $A$  as mentioned above, we see that a map  $\Phi' : W' \rightarrow L^{\oplus 2}$  defined by

$$\left( (a_{j,k}^i(Q))_{i \neq n, 1 \leq j < k \leq n}, (a_{j,k}^1(U))_{1 \leq j < k \leq n}, a_{1,2}^2(S), a_{2,3}^3(U) \right) \mapsto (a_{1,2}^2(S), a_{2,3}^3(U))$$

induces an isomorphism

$$W'/\text{Prin}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) \cong L^{\oplus 2}.$$

Finally, for the crossed homomorphisms  $f_M, f_N \in \text{Cros}(F, V_L)$  defined in Section 3, we see

$$\Phi'(f_M) = (-1, 0), \quad \Phi'(f_N) = (0, 1).$$

Hence

$$H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) \cong W'/\text{Prin}(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) \cong L^{\oplus 2}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

From Theorem 4.1, we see that the crossed homomorphisms  $f_M$  and  $f_N$ , and hence  $f_M$  and  $f_K$ , generate  $H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  for  $n \geq 5$ .

## 5. SOME APPLICATIONS

In this section, we consider the first Johnson homomorphism and the first cohomology group of the outer automorphism group.

### 5.1. The first Johnson homomorphism.

Here we show

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $L$  be a commutative ring which does not contain both any 2-torsions and  $1/2$ . Then for  $n \geq 5$ , there is no crossed homomorphism from  $\text{Aut } F_n$  to  $V_L$  which restriction to  $\text{IA}_n$  coincides with  $\tau_{1,L}$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 5.1.* Assume that the first Johnson homomorphism  $\tau_{1,L}$  extends to  $\text{Aut } F_n$  as a crossed homomorphism. By Theorem 4.1,  $\tau_{1,L}$  is cohomologous to  $af_M + bf_K$  for some  $a, b \in L$ . Then, for distinct  $i, j, k$  and  $j < k$ , observing  $f_K(K_{ijk}) = 2e_{j,k}^i$ , we see

$$e_{j,k}^i = \tau_{1,L}(K_{ijk}) = 2be_{j,k}^i.$$

This shows  $2b = 1$ . It is contradiction to the hypothesis of  $L$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 5.1.  $\square$



## 5.2. Outer automorphism group.

Here, we compute the first cohomology group of the outer automorphism group  $\text{Out } F_n := \text{Aut } F_n / \text{Inn } F_n$  of  $F_n$  with coefficients in  $V_L$  for any commutative ring  $L$  which does not have any 2-torsions.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $L$  be as above. Then, for  $n \geq 5$ ,*

$$H^1(\text{Out } F_n, V_L) = L.$$

*Proof of Proposition 5.2.* Considering the five-term exact sequence of

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Inn } F_n \rightarrow \text{Aut } F_n \rightarrow \text{Out } F_n \rightarrow 1,$$

we have

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\text{Out } F_n, V_L) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(\text{Inn } F_n, V_L)^{\text{Out } F_n}.$$

From Theorem 4.1,  $H^1(\text{Aut } F_n, V_L)$  can be identified with a free  $L$ -module  $L^{\oplus 2}$  generated by  $f_M$  and  $f_K$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f_M) &= (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_i^* \otimes (e_{1,i}^1 + e_{2,i}^2 + \cdots + e_{n,i}^n), \\ \alpha(f_K) &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_i^* \otimes (e_{1,i}^1 + e_{2,i}^2 + \cdots + e_{n,i}^n), \end{aligned}$$

the image of  $\alpha$  is contained in a free  $L$ -module  $L$  generated by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \iota_i^* \otimes (e_{1,i}^1 + e_{2,i}^2 + \cdots + e_{n,i}^n).$$

Then  $\alpha$  is considered as an  $L$ -linear homomorphism  $L^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow L$  which matrix representation is  $\begin{pmatrix} n-1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Using the elementary operations, we can transform it into

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n : \text{even}, \\ &\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n : \text{odd}. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the kernel of this homomorphism is isomorphic to  $L$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 5.2.

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported by JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists and Global COE program at Kyoto University.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. S. Birman. Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups, Annals of Math. Studies 82 (Princeton University Press, 1974).
- [2] N. Bourbaki; Lie groups and Lie algebra, Chapters 1–3, Softcover edition of the 2nd printing, Springer-Verlag (1989).
- [3] F. Cohen and J. Pakianathan. On Automorphism Groups of Free Groups, and Their Nilpotent Quotients, preprint.
- [4] F. Cohen and J. Pakianathan. On subgroups of the automorphism group of a free group and associated graded Lie algebras, preprint.
- [5] B. Farb. Automorphisms of  $F_n$  which act trivially on homology, in preparation.

- [6] S. Galatius. Stable homology of automorphism groups of free groups, preprint, [arXiv:math.AT/0610216v3](#).
- [7] S. M. Gersten. A presentation for the special automorphism group of a free group, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* 33 (1984), 269-279.
- [8] A. Hatcher and K. Vogtmann. Rational homology of  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ , *Math. Res. Lett.* 5 (1998), 759-780.
- [9] A. Hatcher and N. Wahl. Stabilization for the automorphisms of free groups with boundaries, *Geometry and Topology*, Vol. 9 (2005), 1295-1336.
- [10] N. Kawazumi. Cohomological aspects of Magnus expansions, preprint, [arXiv:math.GT/0505497](#).
- [11] S. Krstić, J. McCool. The non-finite presentability in  $IA(F_3)$  and  $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$ , *Invent. Math.* 129 (1997), 595-606.
- [12] W. Magnus. Über  $n$ -dimensionale Gittertransformationen, *Acta Math.* 64 (1935), 353-367.
- [13] J. McCool. Some remarks on  $IA$  automorphisms of free groups, *Can. J. Math.* Vol. XL, no. 5 (1998), 1144-1155.
- [14] S. Morita; On the Homology Groups of the Mapping Class Groups of Orientable Surfaces with Twisted Coefficients, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 62, Ser. A (1986), 148-151.
- [15] S. Morita. Families of Jacobian manifolds and characteristic classes of surface bundles I, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 39 (1989), 777-810.
- [16] S. Morita. Families of Jacobian manifolds and characteristic classes of surface bundles, II, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 105 (1989), 79-101.
- [17] S. Morita. Abelian quotients of subgroups of the mapping class group of surfaces, *Duke Mathematical Journal* 70 (1993), 699-726.
- [18] S. Morita. The extension of Johnson's homomorphism from the Torelli group to the mapping class group, *Invent. math.* 111 (1993), 197-224.
- [19] S. Morita. Structure of the mapping class groups of surfaces: a survey and a prospect, *Geometry and Topology Monographs* Vol. 2 (1999), 349-406.
- [20] S. Morita. Cohomological structure of the mapping class group and beyond, preprint.
- [21] J. Nielsen. Die Isomorphismen der allgemeinen unendlichen Gruppe mit zwei Erzeugenden, *Math. Ann.* 78 (1918), 385-397.
- [22] J. Nielsen. Die Isomorphismengruppe der freien Gruppen, *Math. Ann.* 91 (1924), 169-209.
- [23] J. Nielsen. Untersuchungen zur Topologie der geschlossenen Zweiseitigen Flächen, *Acta Math.* 50 (1927), 189-358.
- [24] T. Satoh. Twisted first homology group of the automorphism group of a free group, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 204 (2006), 334-348.
- [25] T. Satoh. New obstructions for the surjectivity of the Johnson homomorphism of the automorphism group of a free group, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, (2) 74 (2006) 341-360.
- [26] T. Satoh. Twisted second homology group of the automorphism group of a free group, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 211 (2007), 547-565.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWAOIWAKE-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO CITY 606-8502, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* [takao@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:takao@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp)